

BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

590 W. El Camino Real, Mountain View, CA 94040
Telephone: (650) 961-8300 Facsimile: (650) 961-8301
www.beyerlaw.com

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JUN 8 8 2005

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

June 8, 2005

Receiver: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

TEL #:

FAX #: (703) 872-9306

Sender: Susan W. Xu for Ramin Mahboubian

Our Ref. No.: SUN1P831

Re: Application No. 09/886,454

Pages Including Cover Sheet(s): (04)

MESSAGE:

Sir:

Please deliver the attached Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form for the above referenced application to Examiner Satish Rampuria.

Please enter this in the file.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

The information contained in this facsimile (FAX) message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the receiver or firm named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended receiver, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this FAX is strictly prohibited. If you have received this FAX in error, please immediately notify the sender at the telephone number provided above and return the original message to the sender at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Sokolov et al.

Attorney Docket No.: SUN1P831/P6164

Application No.: 09/886,454

Examiner: RAMPURIA, SATISH

Filed: June 20, 2001


Group: 2191

Title: REPRESENTATION OF OBJECTS IN A
JAVA PROGRAMMING ENVIRONMENT

Confirmation No.: 5755

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**JUN 8 2005****CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by
facsimile to fax number 703-872-9306 of the U.S. Patent and
Trademark Office on June 8, 2005.

Signed: _____


Susan W. Xu**APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM**Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Tentative Participants:

- 1) R. Mahboubian
-
- 3)

- 2)
-
- 4)

Proposed Date of Interview: June 20, 2005

Proposed Time: 1:00 PM (Eastern Time)

Type of Interview Requested:

☒ Telephone☐ Personal☐ Video ConferenceExhibit to be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes☒ No

If yes, provide brief description:

ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc.)	Claims/ Fig., #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
1) 103	Claims 5	<i>Crelier and Brown et al.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3)			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

SUN1P831/P6164

1 of 3

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ARGUMENTS TO BE PRESENTED:

(a) *Crelrier* does NOT teach or suggest an object representation that includes an array of references such that each reference references an instance field of the object being represented (claim 5)

It is respectfully submitted that the Examiner has NOT even addressed this feature in the Final Office Action as the Examiner has merely alleged that "a method table 420, in turn, includes a list of methods for the objects of the class" (Final Office Action, page 5). Clearly, a list of methods does NOT teach or even remotely suggest an array of references that each references an instance field of an object. Moreover, it is respectfully submitted that *Crelrier* does NOT teach or suggest this feature.

(b) The combination of *Crelrier* and *Brown* do NOT teach or suggest an object representation that includes a first reference to an internal class representation that includes a header of predetermined size which is immediately followed by a method table (claim 5)

In the Final Office Action, the Examiner has admitted that *Crelrier* does NOT teach an internal class representation which includes a header of a predetermined size (Final Office Action, page 9). Nevertheless, the Examiner has asserted that *Crelrier* teaches that the method table is allocated immediately after the header. Clearly, the Examiner's rejection is improper as it would be impossible for *Crelrier* to teach that a method table is allocated immediately after the header in the internal class representation when admittedly *Crelrier* does NOT teach internal class representation which includes the header. Moreover, it is respectfully submitted that the combination of *Crelrier* and *Brown* do NOT teach or suggest these features.

(c) *Crelrier* does NOT teach or suggest an object representation that includes a direct reference to an internal class representation (claim 8)

It is respectfully submitted that this feature has NOT been addressed in the Final Office Action. Moreover, it is respectfully submitted that *Crelrier* does NOT teach or suggest this feature.

5. (Proposed Amended) A computer readable medium ~~as recited in claim 4,~~
including computer program code for an object representation of an object inside a
virtual machine and suitable for use by said virtual machine, said computer readable
medium comprising:

computer program code for a first reference to an internal class representation
inside said virtual machine, wherein said internal class representation is associated with
said object, and wherein said first reference can be used to invoke one more methods
associated with said object;

computer program code for a second reference to instance fields of said object
which is represented by said object representation inside said virtual machine, wherein
said second reference can be used to access one or more instance fields of said object
at runtime;

computer program code for a hash key that can be used to identify the object,
wherein said hash key is the memory address of said first reference;

wherein said second reference is a reference to an array of references, wherein
each reference in said array of references is a reference to an instance field associated
with said object; and

wherein said internal class representation includes a header of a predetermined
size, and wherein a method table associated with said Java object is allocated
immediately after said header.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on

*Note: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP §713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFT 1.33(b)) as soon as possible.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative)
Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)